

UNITED STATE'S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087763.71	9 12/13/98	DELDUCA	G PCOA673
Colonial of V	article and a second	A3M1/U121	EXAMINER
RUNALD B SENER D. WE	COULLEY ITE AND DURK	वर्ष स	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 44		C.C.	/ A CIT NO MIDEN
HOUSTON T	X 77210		1306
This is a communication	on from the exeminer in	charge of your application.	DATE MAILED: 01/21/98 >
COMMISSIONER OF	PATENTS AND TRADE	MARKS	
		<u>:</u>	3-17-97
	_		-19-97
This application ha	s been examined	Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final
A shortened statutory p	period for response to thi	is action is set to expire month(s),	days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond with	in the period for respons	e will cause the application to become abando	ned. 35 U.S.C. 133
Part I THE FOLLOW	ING ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1 Antice of B	eferences Cited by Exam	since PTO coo	
3. A Notice of Ar	t Cited by Applicant PT		ce of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ce of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
5. Information	on How to Effect Drawin	g Changes, PTO-1474.	ce of informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY O	F ACTION		
1. Claims	1-15		
	_/		are pending in the application.
Of the ab	ove, claims		are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims			have been cancelled.
 			
4. Claims	, ,	·	
			are rejected.
		ar	
_		rmai drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for examination purposes.
_	s are required in respons		
9. The corrected o	r substitute drawings ha ble; 🗖 not acceptable (s	ve been received on ee explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent	. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings Drawing Review, PTO-948).
10. The proposed a examiner; d	dditional or substitute st isapproved by the exam	neet(s) of drawings, filed on iner (see explanation).	has (have) been approved by the
11. The proposed di	awing correction, filed _	, has been approve	ed; 🛘 disapproved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgeme	nt is made of the claim t		convince Theory resolved Theory
13. Since this applic	ation apppears to be In a	condition for allowance except for formal matter arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
14. Other -			
	•	·	

Serial Number: 08/763,719 Page 2

Art Unit: 1306

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breen et al in view of Hayhurst et al, and if necessary, in view of Garwood patent 4,840,271.

Breen et al disclose cuts of raw meat enclosed in a first package (overwrap tray) that is oxygen permeable because of holes and slits, second package (barrier bag), modified atmosphere of carbon dioxide flushing gas and oxygen scavenger (claim 3).

Art Unit: 1306

The claims differ from Breen et al in requiring an oxygen uptake accelerator. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Breen et al package by adding such an accelerator, as suggested by Hayhurst et al (see catalyst 18), in order to provide a controlled, long-term release of oxygen scavenger over the storage life of the package. Note that Hayhurst et al in column 6, lines 40-42 suggest the combination of a modified atmosphere and an oxygen scavenger.

If necessary, it would have been obvious to have manufactured the overwrap material of the inner package of Breen et al with a material, that is itself oxygen permeable, as taught by ('271, to provide for faster exchange of air between interior and exterior of the trays while simplifying packaging manufacture by requiring fewer, or eliminating, the slits and/or holes.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Garwood patents 4,685,274 and 5,226,531 are of interest for recitation of specific materials that may constitute inner and/or outer package means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Drodge whose telephone number is (703) 308-0403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

— If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's — supervisor, —, can be reached on (703) -. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3601.

Art Unit: 1306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Joseph Drodge/om January 15, 1998 PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200